

REMARKS

In the Examiner's action he raised § 112 rejections to the amended claims asserting that claim limitations within the amendments are not found within the specification and are therefore, new matter. Applicant strenuously disagrees and argues that the descriptions of the deployment line and its inability to supply compression or rotation are both inherent within the structures described within the specification. These limitations have been adopted to more clearly distinguish the invention over the prior art where torque transmitted through a shaft operates a screw mechanism to deploy a device and to further distinguish over devices where the stylet or guidewire has sufficient stiffness to actually deploy the device by pushing. These teachings are found within the applied references and have been asserted against the claims under the obviousness standard.

In Applicant's view the claims as amended are not obvious since the inability to supply compressive force or rotational energy to the device are outside of the reasonable interpretation or teachings of the applied references.

For these reasons Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of allowability of the claims.

CONCLUSION

All of the claims remaining in this application should now be seen to be in condition for allowance. The prompt issuance of a notice to that effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
ATRITECH, INC.
By its attorneys:

Date: 3/17/2010

/Robert C. Beck/

Robert C. Beck
Registration No. 28,184
Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C.
2900 Thomas Ave., #100
Minneapolis, MN 55416
Telephone: (612) 915-9635
Fax: (612) 915-9637